

This use case is from an SME CSP that is quite advanced and knowledgeable about data access requests by authorities, and it is good to consider the do's and don'ts. Most CSPs do not know what to do if access to data is requested from a government authority and may give the government authority the wrong access without assessing such request. Generally, the scope of the formal requests to obtain access is too broad instead of a detailed scope, because the authority does not yet exactly know what kind of data they need to know. However, fishing by the government authorities is not allowed. CSPs needs to check the scope of the request to access and should provide as little information and access as possible, keeping in mind the contractual, ethical and trust relationship they have with their CSC. A CSC expect a CSP to stand up for the rights of the CSC. Furthermore, if a CSP gives access within the scope then it should not affect more data protection infringements than the strictly necessary. Any CSC, SMEs included, should request a detailed data access policy of the CSP itself with the processes and consequences.

User Type: SME

User Maturity:

Novice, Basic,
Experienced

Cloud Service lifecycle phase:

Operation, Termination

Cloud usage: App

on a Cloud, Processing
Sensitive Data

High priority practices

Service Level Reporting

The Cloud Service Provider should provide the customer with the tools, training and support to directly measure the achieved ServiceLevels, and evaluate them with respect to the agreed SLOs. Measured ServiceLevels should be integrity- and authenticity-protected, so the customer can use them to demonstrate potential violation of the SLA by the provider.

Service Level Continuous Monitoring

The Cloud Service Provider should provide a certified form of continuous monitoring-based Service Level reporting. An example of such certification scheme is CSA Open Certification Framework - Level 3 (OCF - STAR continuous).

Data Management SLOs

The SLA may specify related SLOs contained in additional documents like the EC's "SLA Standardisation Guidelines". In particular, the Cloud Service Provider is expected to clearly define the used data classification scheme, data deletion mechanism, data portability format, and relevant links to the personal data protection SLOs (e.g., in relationship to the data deletion SLOs). Metrics definitions associated to these SLOs should be based on a standardised model e.g., ISO/IEC 19086-2.

Security SLOs

This good practice improves the accountability level of the CSP. Beyond a list of applicable security certifications, as part of the SLA, the Cloud Service Provider is expected to present a set of quantitative/ qualitative SLOs in areas like:

- » Organisation of Information Security;
- » Human Resources Security;
- » Asset Management; Access Control;
- » Cryptography;
- » Physical and Environmental Security;
- » Operations Security;

- » Communications Security;
- » Systems Acquisition;
- » Development and Maintenance;
- » Supplier Relationships;
- » Information Security Incident Management;
- » Business Continuity Management;
- » Compliance.

It is important to note that in this case the structure/classification of the specified security SLOs should be consistent with that used in the security certifications the provider refers to. For example security SLOs in ISO/IEC 19086-4, along with their corresponding implementation guidance, are structured according to ISO/IEC 27002 and 27017.

Specified security SLOs/SQOs should make reference to the verifiable evidence associated to the corresponding and agreed metrics.

The security SLOs, and more in general the cloud SLA, should be specified in compliance with ISO/IEC 19086-1, ISO/IEC 19086-2, and ISO/IEC 19086-3. This will provide the CSC with details related to topics like SLO/SQO monitoring, applicable remedies, metrics specification, and core requirements.

Furthermore, for highly important security SLOs it is a good practice for customers to obtain from the CSP the information/tools required for monitoring the agreed security commitments continuously.

Particular attention should be paid to the "Information Security Incident Management" component, where it is expected for the Cloud Service Provider to notify consumers of the occurrence of any breach of its system, regardless of the parties or data directly impacted.

Metrics play an important role in critical SLA-Ready Common Reference Model security components. Metrics and standards for measuring performance and effectiveness of information security management should be established prior to agreeing on the cloud SLA. As a minimum, customers should understand and document their current metrics and how they will change when operations are moved into the cloud and where a provider may use different metrics. Agreed metrics should be compliant with a relevant standard like ISO/IEC 19086-2.

Personal Data Protection SLOs

The SLA may specify related SLOs contained in additional documents like the EC's "SLA Standardisation Guidelines". Metrics definitions associated to these SLOs should be based on a standardised model e.g., ISO/IEC 19086-2.

Medium priority practices

- » SLA URL
- » Findable
- » Choice of law
- » Roles and responsibilities
- » Cloud SLA definitions
- » Contact availability
- » Service Credit
- » SLA change notifications
- » Unilateral change
- » General Carveouts
- » Specified SLO metrics
- » General SLOs
- » Cloud Service Performance SLOs
- » Service Reliability SLOs

Low priority practices

- » Revision date
- » Update Frequency
- » Previous versions and revisions
- » SLA duration
- » SLA language
- » Machine-readable format
- » Nr. of pages
- » Contact details
- » Service credits assignment
- » Maximum service credits (Euro amount) provided by the CSP
- » Feasibility of specials & customisations

[Click and download your tailored tips on Cloud Service Level Agreements](#)

